

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•			
APPLICATION NO.	y Ell	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,909	· 1	2/21/2001	Steven R. Klinkner	112056-0011	9927
24267	7590	12/19/2003		EXAM	INEŔ
CESARI A	ND MCK	ENNA, LLP		ELLIS, KEVIN L	
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. BOSTON, 1	VIA 0221	A 02210	•	2188	
• •				DATE MAILED: 12/19/200	3 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
<i>c</i>	10/027,909	KLINKNER, STE	KLINKNER, STEVEN R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kevin L. Ellis	2188		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becoming date of this communication, even	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	is have been received. Its have been received in the certified copies in the certified copies in the section of the special position in the received in the special position in the received i	n Application No een received in this Nationa not receivedC. § 119(e) (to a provisiona cification or in an Application s been receivedC. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet.	
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> 	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT		

'Application/Control Number: 10/027,909

Art Unit: 2188

Detailed Action

- 1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.
- 2. Information disclosed and listed on PTO 1449 has been considered.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
 - A) These claims describe a method for selecting a disk to replace another disk in a system by selecting the disk that matches the system characteristics. It is not apparent what differentiants these claims from a user of the system performing these steps as described by AAPA on pages 1-4. As described by AAPA the user would obtain a set of system characteristics, obtain a plurality of disk characteristics, compare the two, and select a disk which matches the system characteristics (see pages 1-4 of the present invention).

'Application/Control Number: 10/027,909

Art Unit: 2188

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.
 - A) These claims describe a method for selecting a disk to replace another disk in a system by selecting only displaying to the user disks that match the system characteristics (i.e. "are valid for the operation"). The steps described by these claims would normally be performed by the user and is described by AAPA (see Pages 1-4 of the present invention). As described by AAPA the user would obtain a set of system characteristics, obtain a plurality of disk characteristics, compare the two, and select a disk which matches the system characteristics. The user knows that only certain disk can be selected because others would be outside the system characteristics (see Pages 3-4 of the present invention). For example, as described at the top of page 3 if a 520 BPS disk was being replace and the pool of available disks include a number of 512 BPS disks, these disks would be not be valid and would not be selected. Normally these steps would be performed by a user, the claimed invention performs these steps by a computer program. It would appear then that the invention is automating steps normally performed by a user, which is obvious:

Application/Control Number: 10/027,909

Art Unit: 2188

"merely using a computer to automate a known process does not by itself impart nonobviousness to the invention. See *Dann v. Johnston*, 425 U.S. 219, 227-30, 189 USPQ 257, 261 (1976); *In re Venner*, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958)" (MPEP § 2106 'VI. DETERMINE WHETHER THE CLAIMED INVENTION COMPLIES WITH 35 U.S.C. 102 AND 103')

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin L. Ellis whose telephone number is 703-305-9659. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Kevin L. Ellis Primary Examiner December 15, 2003

Na. 2 Ella.